Annex B: Duty to Cooperate Responses

Summary of Prescribed Body Representations on City of York Local Plan Pre Publication Draft (Regulation 18) Consultation, 2017		
Prescribed Body	Summary of Representation	
East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERC)	 The draft plan, which has been based on ongoing cooperation between the two authorities throughout the plan making process. Strongly supports the provision of sufficient housing within the York Local Plan to enable the full need for housing to be met within the York HMA. Welcomes the identification of strategic highways network improvements at Grimston Bar in policy T4 and the need for joint working. Expressed concern at the Breen Belt boundary being set precisely at 6miles from the city centre as this would encroach into East Riding. More detailed comments relating to Site ST15 Land West of Elvington Lane 	
Environment Agency	 On the whole, the Environment Agency's comments from previous consultations have been taken on board and the EA find the content of the plan positive. The section on green infrastructure is good and recognises the dual of both green open spaces and mitigation of current and future flood risk, as sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) or flood storage, can be achieved. More detailed comments on policies relevant to its remit, e.g. Flood risk and land contamination. 	
Hambleton District Council (HDC)	 The document identifies sufficient land to meet the development needs of the City and establishes a Green Belt enduring 20 years. It does not safeguard land for development and recognises the build out time of the strategic sites will extend beyond the plan period. The proposed detailed boundaries of the Green Belt offer little opportunity to accommodate the increased level of growth proposed in the White Paper, should this be required. If the City of York does not ensure that its longer term development needs are met this will place pressure on areas in neighbouring authorities. The Local Plan has been subject to viability testing and the proposed allocations have been selected through a robust assessment process, but the level of assessment that has been undertaken to confirm the viability and deliverability of the allocated sites is unclear 	
Harrogate Borough Council (HBC)	No representation made at this stage but ongoing discussions under the Duty will continue as the plan is taken forward	
Highways England (HE)	Welcome the emphasis on sustainable travel, high quality public transport links serving new sustainable communities and travel planning as key components of policy, and that new development sites are located with	

Publication Draft (Regulation 18) Consultation, 2017	
Prescribed Body	Summary of Representation
Leeds City Region Local Enterprise Partnership (LCR LEP) / West Yorkshire Combined Authority (WYCA)	good access to public transport, walking and cycling networks, thereby minimising growth in traffic. The Plan lacks recognition of the scale of the forecast traffic growth on the A64 trunk road and its junctions with local primary roads will require physical mitigation in the form of investment in highway infrastructure despite the extensive sustainable travel proposals. The spatial distribution and particularly the development of land opportunities in the south and eastern parts of York should be dependent upon agreement between the Council and HE of a Management Strategy for the A64 and its junctions with the local primary road network. HE expects that the strategic sites located around the A1237 Northern Ring Road will combine to have a significant impact on the junctions of the A1237 with that A64 east and west of York. It will need to have a good understanding of that cumulative impact if it is to be able to state that the Plan is sound at Publication Draft stage. HE will continue to work in partnership with CYC to understand the impact of the Local Plan proposals on the operation of the A64 and its junctions with the primary road network. Requested that the key principles in many of the Spatial Strategy (SS) policies for the strategic sites be modified to include HE as an organization to be consulted with by developers when demonstrating that all transport issues have been addressed. Requested explanatory text to several Spatial Strategy (SS) policies for the strategic sites be modified to include the need for a Transport Assessment to support the key principles relating to demonstrating that all transport issues have been addressed. The Plan forms a complete suite of local policies and directly addresses many aspects of the strategies in the SEP. Land allocations for the provision of jobs will support sustainable economic activity with a focus on allocating enough sites to satisfy market demand and maximise connectivity to transport The Plan supports the aim of increasing the amount of energy ge

Prescribed Body	Summary of Representation
	, ,
North Vorkshire County	 the SEP priorities Sites and policies are not supported by an up to date infrastructure delivery plan and one would be expected. The Plan acknowledges that commuting to destinations outside York occurs. Welcome that improvements to York Railway Station are included in the plan to accommodate enhancements for the planned electrification of the Trans Pennine Line, HS2 and Northern Powerhouse Rail aspirations.
North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC)	 York is an important driver for growth both within the York, North Yorkshire and East Riding LEP area and the Leeds City Region. It is important that the City has a robust and high quality Local Plan in place that best enables it to unlock economic growth and prosperity for the benefit of its communities and those of its wider hinterland. Welcome the commitments set out in Policy DP1: York Sub Areas. In particular that York will 'fulfil its role as a key driver in the Leeds City Region , York, North Yorkshire and East Riding Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) area' and 'The housing needs of City of York's current and future population including that arising from economic and institutional growth is met within the York local authority area.' Support the general thrust and intent of Policy SS1: Delivering sustainable growth for York Policy SS2: the Role of York's Green Belt - defining a clear and detailed inner boundary of the York Green Belt is welcomed and supported. In defining the Green Belt boundary it is important that the evidence underpinning the decision is clearly presented and included within the narrative accompanying the policy. NYCC recognise that the Plan makes provision up to 2038, providing for an additional 5 years beyond the plan period. In adopting this approach it is acknowledged that in the longer term consideration will need to be given to how future growth needs will be managed to provide confidence in relation to planning for infrastructure and services including within neigbouring parts of North Yorkshire Any traffic impact on NYCC's local highway network that could arise from allocations need to be identified and considered. Where it is clear that a development will have a material impact on its local highway network, NYCC request to be included in agreeing the scoping for the Transport Assessment (TA) and Travel Plan (TP) in addition to being formally consulted during the application process. Ask that within CYC's transport evide

Prescribed Body	Summary of Representation
Ryedale District Council	 the Green Hammerton settlement and that committed developments within North Yorkshire that will impact on cross border issues are included. The Development of the York Central site will provide new economic and residential uses and activity in the centre of the City in a location well connected to sustainable transport which will benefit from regeneration. No representation made at this stage but ongoing
(RDC)	discussions under the Duty will continue as the plan is taken forward
Selby District Council (SDC)	 Broadly supports the Local Plan approach and its policies, and more specifically, Policy DP1 SDC notes Policy SS1 states that the plan will deliver a minimum of 867 dwellings per year .Having read the SHMA Addendum, it is also noted that this figure does not take into account the level of employment growth proposed by the Local Plan and that the SHMA has not undertaken a full update to the analysis of economic growth. Whilst the SHMA concludes that there is unlikely to be any justification for an uplift in housing numbers in York to support expected growth in employment, Selby District Council need to be confident that undertaking a policy-on approach to housing need would identify no more than 867 dwellings per annum. CYC will also be aware of the proposed methodology for the calculation of housing need requirements set out in the in the DCLG consultation on 'Planning for the Right Homes in the Right Places', which if taken forward would increase York's housing requirement figure to 1,070 dwellings per annum. Whilst you are confident that you can realise the growth aspirations detailed within the Pre-Publication Local Plan within the City of York boundary, Selby District Council is concerned that any increases to this figure could raise significant cross-boundary issues. Question whether a Green Belt boundary enduring for 20 years is sufficient to meet the NPPF as it pertains to the intended permanence of Green Belt boundaries in the long term so they are capable of enduring beyond the plan period. Site ST15 is in a remote location and will require significant investment in public transport infrastructure. The cumulative impact of this proposed new settlement on the highways network, along with the proposed expansion of York University (Site ST27) and the employment allocation at Elvington Airfield will need to be mitigated. Selby District Council need more detail to that shown in the Transport Topic Paper, before providing any further comments on the potential impa

Summary of Prescribed Body Representations on City of York Local Plan Pre Publication Draft (Regulation 18) Consultation, 2017		
Prescribed Body	Summary of Representation	
	Wheldrake with Thorganby CE School is capable of meeting any additional demand generated by Site ST33, without any detriment to the population of Thorganbury (in Selby District)	
York, North Yorkshire and East Riding Local Enterprise Partnership (YNYER LEP)	 The quantum and nature of the proposed development will be of great strategic benefit to this LEP area and it is important that the Local Plan is advanced to adoption quickly to allow delivery of these sites. Past issues of under delivery of housing, together with recent market signals for York mean that it will be essential to achieve the proposed minimum annual provision of 867 dwellings over the plan period, together with any additional homes to reflect under delivery. Concerned at only 60,000m² of B1a office space at York Central, given the significantly higher figure in the EZ proposal and the pivotal role of such development on this site for the economy of York and the LEP area Welcome the funding from WYCA to undertake feasibility and business case development for dualling the A1237 Grimston Bar junction, that already has capacity problems and faces increasing pressure through theh Local Plan proposals, is important for east-west connectivity 	